Strategic Action Plan for the Implementation of the Protocol on SFM to the Carpathian Convention

7th Meeting of the Carpathian Convention Working Group on Sustainable Forest Management **Zvolen, Slovakia, 27 – 28 June 2019**



Presentation goals:

- to remind meeting participants that the Forest Protocol is focused on forestry as a whole
- to show overlaps of the Protocol with other policies, initiatives and processes



Strategic action plan

- 18 objectives covering the whole scope of forestry
- 40 activities
- 118 expected results
- the Parties should undertake all the SAP actions within the period of 2015-2026
- => now we are in the middle of the programming period, but our focus so far has chiefly been on virgin forests only



Objective 1 - Implementation of SFM policies and integration of the objectives into other sectoral policies

- Action 1. 1. Develop and implement national policies promoting SFM and forest protection.
- Result expected
- a) Policies developed and implemented.



Objective 1 - Implementation of SFM policies and integration of the objectives into other sectoral policies

- Action 1. 2. Communicate the objectives of the Protocol to relevant national bodies to ensure that the Protocol is taken into account in national policies
- Result expected
- a) Relevant objectives of the Protocol integrated in relevant national policies;
- b) Issues relevant for the Protocol considered on the periodic basis by the national state authorities responsible for the Protocol;
- c) Regular contacts with the relevant national bodies and update on their activities ensured.



Objective 1 - Implementation of SFM policies and integration of the objectives into other sectoral policies

- Action 1. 3. Encourage cooperation between global and regional institutions, local authorities and stakeholders in the development and implementation of national policies and the resulting measures.
- Results expected
- a) Issues relevant for the Forest Protocol included in the agenda of the consultative meetings;
- b) Cooperation between all stakeholders on SFM and protection of Carpathian forests is strengthened.



Objective 2 – Cooperation at subnational level

- Action 2. 1. Define the best level of coordination and cooperation between national institutions, regional & local authorities and communities in the process of implementation of the Protocol, for example using the available EU instruments and programs.
- No results expected
- Action 2. 2. To facilitate the involvement of regional and local authorities, as well as communities and other stakeholders in the implementation of the Protocol.
- No results expected



Objective 2 – Cooperation at subnational level

• Action 2. 3. To involve communities, forest owners and managers concerned in the various stages of preparing and implementing of national policies and measures.

Results expected

- a) Activities aimed at involvement are implemented;
- b) Initiatives aimed at raising stakeholders awareness on the importance of SFM developed.



- Action 3. 1 Active transboundary cooperation in the implementation of the Protocol, e.g. in enforcement of the Forest management plans and forest protection.
- Results expected
- a) Active exchange of relevant information with other Parties ensured;
- b) Cooperation for the management of forests including close to nature forestry in Protected Areas ensured and fostered;
- c) *Measures aimed at granting* legal protective status to transboundary Protected Areas adopted.



- Action 3. 2. Facilitate the international cooperation between regional and local authorities to solve shared problems at the most suitable level.
- Results expected
- a) New cooperative arrangements between authorities established, and cooperation enhanced;
- b) External funding identified and proposal for common projects prepared.



- Action 3. 3. Encourage and facilitate active cooperation between international bodies responsible for development of regional or global sectoral policies, such as the EU macroregional Strategies for Danube (EUSDR) and Black Sea Regions (EUSBSR), related to SFM and forest protection in the Carpathians.
- a) Cooperation ensured and fostered;
- b) Regular contacts with the relevant international bodies and update on their activities ensured;
- c) Participation of at least one Carpathian representative in relevant international meetings and vice versa ensured;
- d) Contacts with EU institutions and bodies further developed;
- e) Objectives of the Protocol integrated in the EU funds;

- *f)* Provisions of the Protocol considered during the development of a Legally Binding Agreement on Forests in Europe and of any other legally binding documents relevant for the region
- Action 3 .4. Develop partnership agreements between administrations of Protected Areas, especially between transboundary PAs or those located near borders.
- *Results expected*:
- a) Better coordination for implementing appropriate management measures in Protected Areas
- b) Management and monitoring of the areas *identified* as ecological networks to facilitate continuity and connectivity.

Objective 4 – Maintaining and enlarging forest cover

- Action 4. 1. Take measures to maintain existing forest cover through sustainable forest management and spatial planning.
- Results expected
- a) Existing level of forest cover maintained on a level of 2010 year (last FRA) or increased;
- b) Exchange information and best practices on the measures taken to maintain existing forest cover and prevent deforestation ensured.



Objective 4 – Maintaining and enlarging forest cover

- Action 4. 2. Take measures, if appropriate, to enlarge forest cover, while taking into account the importance of Natura 2000 habitats and the other goals of the Carpathian Convention and its Protocols.
- a) Areas in need of afforestation identified;
- b) Measures to enlarge, if appropriate, forests using mainly native species developed and implemented;
- c) Information exchange among stakeholders on measures;
- d) Exchange information on increasing forest cover in the Carpathian region including the best practices and silvicultural measures is ensured.



Objective 5 – Ensuring the productive functions of the forests and their role in rural development

- Action 5. 1. Develop and implement measures aiming at ensuring the productive functions of the forests, and enhance their role in rural development.
- Results expected
- a) Forest land property and management rights in the national territories defined and respected;
- b) Rural areas and forest owners concerned identified;
- c) Source of livelihood of the rural populations clearly identified;
- d) Forest land owners and users expectations on ensuring productive function of forest defined;



Objective 5 – Ensuring the productive functions of the forests and their role in rural development

- e) Uses of wood in different local industries outlined;
- *f)* Contribution of forest management and sound use of wood to green economy fostered;
- g) Consultation with shareholders and local communities on challenges or constraints to the productive functions conducted;
- h) Sound use of wood and non-wood products according to relevant normative documents on sustainable forest management in Carpathians practiced;
- *i)* Sources of income by raising the added value on forest resources promoted and ensured;
- *j) International support, in accordance with national legislation, to the forest owners and managers and their associations provided.*

Objective 5 – Ensuring the productive functions of the forests and their role in rural development

- Action 5. 2. Identify means to support private forest owners and their associations in order to ensure sustainable forest management.
- Results expected
- a) International and national support, to the forest owners, managers and their associations *identified*;
- b) Measures to reduce possible problems associated with fragmentation of forest land, also through forest land and/or management consolidation developed and implemented, as appropriate.



Objective 5 – Ensuring the productive functions of the forests and their role in rural development

- Action 5. 5. Develop and spread the use of systems of payment for ecosystem services, as appropriate.
- Result expected
- a) Schemes *developed*, including a legislative framework;
- b) Use of payments of ecosystems services schemes promoted;
- c) Measures for identification of forest ecosystem services in Carpathian region taken.



- Action 6. 1. to identify and protect natural forests and especially virgin forests through establishing Protected Areas of sufficient size and number.
- a) Criteria and indicators for identifying natural and virgin forests;
- b) ... national inventories and maps of natural and virgin forests ... and included in Carpathian Convention information system;
- c) Virgin forests protected;
- d) Definition of sufficient areas adopted by the Parties, if needed;
- e) Sufficient areas of all types of identified natural forests protected;
- f) Cooperation among administrations responsible for forest management in Protected Areas facilitated.

- Action 6. 2. Undertake measures aiming at preserving genetic resources of natural forests in the Carpathian region.
- Results expected
- a) Valuable genetic resources detailed, listed and recognizable in each territory;
- *b) Policies* on preserving genetic resources of natural forests implemented;
- c) Measures on the sustainable use and preservation of genetic resources developed and implemented.



- Action 6. 3. Where appropriate, adopt measures to compensate costs or economic losses resulting from measures aimed to protect natural forests and virgin forests.
- Results expected
- a) Economic implications resulting from the protection of natural and virgin forests addressed in the development of compensation schemes, as appropriate;
- b) Where appropriate, costs or economic losses caused by the protection of natural forests and virgin forests calculated and defined; specific measures to compensate them developed.

- Action 6. 4. Ensure the coherence between the Protected Areas management plans and the forest management plans in PAs.
 Results expected
- b) Coherent Protected Areas management plans, including proper zonation, implemented for all Protected Areas;
- c) Cooperation on forest management among Carpathian Network of Protected Areas (CNPA) members increased;
- d) Cooperation among administrations responsible for Protected Areas in the Carpathians facilitated;
- *e) Financial programmes* to submit project proposals identified and project proposals aimed at improving forest management in Protected Areas, as appropriate, prepared.

- Action 6. 5. To ensure the long-term conservation or maintenance, protection, restoration and sustainable use of natural forests.
- Results expected
- a) Threats to the conservation status of natural forests identified;
- b) Guidelines for special conservation measures for all types of endangered forests;
- c) Foreseen activities included in the agenda of the meetings of this group.



Objective 7 – Improvement of the protective forest functions

- Action 7. 1. Adopt measures to improve the protective functions of forests (floods, soil erosion, landslides, avalanches and rock falls) by appropriate forest management enhancing forest stability and resistance.
- Result expected
- a) Experience shared;
- *b) Measures* aimed at improving protective forest functions adopted;
- c) Improved capacity of forest ecosystems to accomplish protection functions, like soil protection, water sources, prevention of soil erosion, landslides, torrents and floods and conservation of biodiversity.

Objective 8 – Promotion of close-tonature forestry

- Action 8. 1. Promote close-to-nature forestry.
- Results expected
- a) *Programmes* for protection and reintroduction of rare native tree species to their natural ecosystems established;
- b) Best practices shared;
- c) Increased areas where close-to-nature forestry is applied.



Objective 9 – Improvement of foresttransporting infrastructure of mountain forests

- Action 9. 1. Measures to construct new forest roads where necessary and to improve technical condition of the existing roads.
- *Results expected:*
- a) *Questions* of forest roads included in National Forest Program or another national strategic document;
- b) Normative documents regulating the parameters of mountain forest roads may be developed and adopted at the national level;
- c) Guidelines on planning forest-transporting networks in mountain forests may be developed and adopted at the national level;
- d) Experiences shared on the optimization of forest roads network.

Objective 9 – Improvement of foresttransporting infrastructure of mountain forests

- Action 9. 2. Adopt measures to improve the ways of primary transportation of wood.
- *Results expected:*
- a) Experiences shared and projects to promote the use of effective methods developed;
- b) Optimization of cableway systems increased;
- c) Experiences shared on the optimization of network of ways of primary wood transportation.



Objective 10 – Linking forestry, wildlife and ecological network needs in the Carpathian region

- Action 10. 1. Develop and implement sustainable methods to harmonize forest management and wildlife management.
- Results expected
- a) Transboundary cooperation in wildlife management;
- b) Joint measures in borderland regions developed and adopted;
- c) Populations of hoofed game controlled;
- *d)* Demands of wildlife management **taken into account** in sustainable forest management.



Objective 11 – Forestry and climate change

- Action 11. 1. Adopt measures, policies and/or strategies to enhance the role of forest-based sector and forestry in mitigating climate change. Finding the balance between increasing carbon stocks in forest ecosystems, the use of renewable wood energy, and the sound use of wood products as substitutes of rough nonrenewable materials.
- Results expected
- a) Sound use of wood and best practices increased;
- b) Experiences shared on strengthening role of forestry and forest industry in mitigation climate change.



Objective 11 – Forestry and climate change

- Action 11. 2. Take into consideration the effects of climate change and the increasing vulnerability of forests towards fires and other extreme events, pursuing adaptation policies and measures aiming at increasing the stability and the resilience of the forests.
- Result expected
- a) Strategies/policies are developed and implemented.



Objective 12 – Social functions of the forests

- Action 12. 1. Within the existing institutional framework, to increase cooperation between the forestry institutions, local authorities and other local stakeholders, aimed at enhancement of social function of forests.
- a) Cooperation between *forestry institutions*, regional and local authorities improved;
- b) Employment taken into account in the sustainable forest management;
- c) Working conditions in forestry operations improved;
- d) Cultural heritage of the Carpathian forests preserved;
- e) Provision of recreational services enhanced.



Objective 12 – Social functions of the forests

- Action 12. 2. Take into consideration the value of forests for tourism, taking into account also sustainable tourism policies in the forest management planning.
- Results expected
- a) Sustainable tourism policies taken into account in forest management plans;
- b) Forest zonation and management planning considering the tourism value implemented;
- c) Forest areas suitable for tourism purposes identified.



Objective 12 – Social functions of the forests

- Action 12. 3. Take into account cultural heritage and traditional knowledge practices related to forest management, and the fundamental needs of local populations in forest management planning.
- Results expected
- a) Forest zonation and management planning consider the cultural heritage and the fundamental needs of local populations;
- b) Cultural heritage, traditional knowledge and forest management to promote their common high value, while increasing local populations livelihoods, linked.



Objective 13 – Development of compatible monitoring systems and a joint information system

- Action 13. 1. Cooperate, within the framework of existing international initiatives, on harmonising the forest monitoring in the Carpathians by establishing a joint information system on the ecological state of forests in the Carpathians (according to Art. 12, CC).
- Results expected
- a) Information on state of forest and results of national forest monitoring based on the format agreed by the Parties, and integrated in the Carpathian Convention joint information system, regularly updated and accessible on the Party's request.



Objective 14 – Coordinated scientific research and exchange of information

- Action 14. 1. Promote and coordinate scientific research and information exchange on Carpathian forests.
- a) Conferences and meetings for the exchange of scientific information and practical experience on the SFM organized;
- b) Information from the scientific research in Carpathians forests used better in the implementation of SFM policies/strategies;
- c) *Effective information and experience exchange ensured;*
- d) Results of scientific research provided by the Parties and/or by international organizations ... are incorporated in the joint information system and accessible through the Convention website;
- e) Research and technical cooperation promoted;
- *f)* A common classification of Carpathian forest types developed and used.

Objective 14 – Coordinated scientific research and exchange of information

- Action 14. 2. Coordinate and cooperate on scientific research undertaken in its territory, or by its scientific institutions.
- a) Effective international cooperation among scientific and other institutions, inter alia through the Science for the Carpathians Initiative ensured;
- b) Common research programs and projects undertaken to improve
- c) SFM in the Carpathians elaborated and implemented;
- d) Participation of scientists and experts in the common expert networks, such as the Carpathian Convention Sustainable Forest Management Working Group meetings ensured;
- *e) Funds* to submit common scientific projects identified, projects prepared and developed.

Objective 15 – Common programs and projects

- Action 15. 1. Undertake active participation, according to the needs and possibilities of each Party, in common programs and projects on activities jointly undertaken in the Carpathians by the Parties.
- Results expected
- a) Joint actions, projects, plans and programmes undertaken and implemented;
- b) Cooperation, coordination, consultation, discussion and negotiation engaged with the Parties.



Objective 16 – Financial measures

- Action 16. 1. Explore the possibilities of supporting, through financial measures, the implementation of the provisions of the Protocol, its objectives and all the linked actions and activities.
- Result expected
- a) Implementation of the Protocol provisions supported through financial measures.
- Action 16. 2. Identify, develop and apply, if needed, appropriate schemes for payment for ecosystem services provided by forests.
- Results expected
- a) Appropriate schemes for payment for ecosystem services provided by forests identified, developed and applied.

Objective 17 – Education, information and public awareness

- Action 17. 1. Promote the education, information and public awareness on forests in the Carpathians, including cultural heritage and traditional knowledge practices in forest management.
- a) Education and training courses on SFM integrated into educational national systems, as appropriate;
- b) Campaigns aimed at promoting public awareness on Carpathian forests implemented.
- Action 17. 2. Ensure the public access to the information related to the implementation of the Protocol, taking into account also Aarhus Convention principles and tools.
- a) Information available on the national websites and the Carpathian Convention website.

Objective 18 – Effectiveness, ensuring implementation of the Strategic Action Plan

- Action 18. 1. Take all the needed actions to ensure the implementation of the Protocol in cooperation with other relevant expert networks for the activities within the scope of their competences.
- a) Legal and administrative measures are taken
- Action 18. 2. Designate a relevant national authority responsible for the implementation of the Protocol and make this information available to other Parties.
- a) State authorities designated and their contact information is published on the Carpathian Convention website

Objective 18 – Effectiveness, ensuring implementation of the Strategic Action Plan

- Action 18. 3. Submit to the Secretariat three months prior to each ordinary meeting of the COP a three years report on activities aimed at implementing the Protocol and their effectiveness, on the basis of the format elaborated by this Working Group.
- Results expected
- a) Three years report on activities aimed at implementing the Protocol and their effectiveness are drafted and updated;
- b) Timely submission of the Report to the Secretariat;
- c) Report submitted and considered by the Conference of the Parties at their meetings.

Presentation goals:

• to show that reporting on forest state is very challenging



A key issue:

Reporting burden



FRA platform

Deforestation (b)

Turkey Reviewer		Last edited 17 april 2019			English 🗸	Matej Schwarz 🕥						
Introduction Forest extent, characteristics and changes	Official reports about the deforestation from Department of Forest Cadastre and Ownersh Forestry Statistics 2017 published by General Directorate of Forestry	,	Management and Planning at General E	Directorate of Forestry								
1a Extent of forest and other	National classification and definitions Afforestation All the activities realized in line with local conditions with the purposes of production (economic), protection, hydrology and rehabilitation of locality (ecologic), aestheticism, recreation and protection of the environment (social).											
wooded land 1b Forest characteristics												
1c Annual forest expansion.	Natural expansion The transformation of non-forest areas into forest areas uses.											
deforestation and net change	Deforestation The transformation of forest areas into other land uses.											
1d Annual reforestation												
1e Specific forest categories	Original data The original data are available in Forestry Statistics 2017 published by GDF.											
1f Other land with tree cover												
2 Forest growing stock, biomass and carbon												
2a Growing stock	Analysis and processing of national data											
2b Growing stock composition	Estimation and forecasting											
2c Biomass stock	The estimation process is from 1990 to 2017. Forest expansion and deforestation for 2018, 20	019, and 2020 was forecasted based on r	et forest change of national forest progra	im.								
2d Carbon stock												
3 Forest designation and management	Reclassification into FRA 2020 categories 🛦											
3a Designated management	Annual forest expansion, deforestation and net change											
objective	See definitions FAQ											
3b Forest area within protected areas and forest area with long-term management			Area (100) ha/year)								
plans	FRA categories	1990-2000	2000-2010	2010-2015	2015-20	20						
4 Forest ownership and management rights	Forest expansion (a)	100.14	67.61	175.80		130.64						
4a Forest ownership	of which afforestation	46.85	30.11	95.60		70.25						
4b Holder of management rights	of which natural expansion	53.29	29.30	80.20		60.39						
of public forests												

63.65

0.00

14.63

12.63

Pan-European quantitative questionnaire

Diameter distribution for uneven-aged crests:	; • : × ·	<i>f</i> _x 323	39,33																												
point The number of the two of		В	С	D		Е	F		G		н		1	J	ŀ	<	L	М	N		0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х	
Makiki ya woda kupyi Makiki ya Wakiki Kupi Makiki ya Makiki ya Wakiki kupi Makiki ya Makiki y		eter distributi	on																												
bitst Starter detholon Tevel, Foret, Foret, Foret, analysis for void supply, Stand, Liberan-agel stards). bitst Starter detholon Tevel, analysis for void supply, Stand, Liberan-agel stards). Category Yee Tevel, foret, rest, void supply, Stand, Liberan-agel stards). Void Tevel, foret, void supply, Stand, Liberan-agel stards). Tevel, foret, void supply, Stand, Void Starter, Void		ge structure a	nd/or diamet	er distribut	tion of fore	est and oth	her wooded	d land,	classifie	ed by fo	rest type	e and by	у																		
No. 1.2 Dameter distribution and total area (uneva-get stands). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Category Yes Total Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a). construction (100 m² a). Volume by distribution (100 m² a).		est, Forest ava	ilable for wo	od supply.	Stand, U	Jneven-age	d stands.							-																	
Category Yea Area (1000 Hz) Topal Volume (1000 Hz) Volume by dameter classes (1000 Hz) cate 2015 463.3 11063.45 11063.45 2012 Hz) 2012 Hz) cate 2013 333.48 1509.74 863.05 877.04 1282.12 2013 Hz) cate 2015 335.48 1509.74 863.05 877.04 1282.57 872.06 650.71 1919 135.64 1510.87 812.64 812.72 100.82 000 2015 155.64 7377.07 2285.31 1482.25 300.29 0.00 2010 156.64 333.31.88 477.64 550.51 717.04 2285.31 418.24 300.29 0.00 2001 174.18 485.64 457.02.21 1706.59 252.57 284.51 110.93 635.71 717.14 0.00 2001 174.19 433.31 686.61 265.51 475.24 0.00 0.00 2001 174.19 433.81 686.61																															
Category Year Arda allos Offer Young (1000 mt o b.) 200 2010 2010 mt o b.) 200 mt o b.) 200 mt o b.) creat. 2010 400,11 1190,99,69 6947,44 1806,32 582,66 201,33 305,59 creat. 2000 201,4 599,70,6 592,71,6 505,52 0,00 2000 201,4 599,70,6 592,71,6 505,52 0,00 2000 201,4 597,70,6 592,21,6 605,53 0,00 2010 201,4 597,70,6 592,21,6 605,53 0,00 2010 201,4 697,70,7 623,21,6 597,70,7 592,21,6 597,7 204,21 2010 201,1 201,0 597,7 609,53 597,27 204,27 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07 204,07	able 1.3b Diameter distributi	on and total ar		-			Vo	lume l	hv diame	ter clas	202																				
2015 2010 2010 2010 2010 Uppediate cest. 2010 333.84 61877.86 6197.24 6193.23 6012.30 702.20 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 72.55 </td <td>Category</td> <td>Year</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 h)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(1</td> <td>000 m* (</td> <td>o.b.)</td> <td></td>	Category	Year			0 h)			(1	000 m* (o.b.)																					
Const. 2010 335.44 8187/04 888.32 9770.96 125.44 617.33 306.95 Innean aged stands 2006 245.24 9501.015 101.66 404.44 220.00 1909 135.64 3513.199 577.07.86 555.55 0.00 1900 135.64 3513.199 577.07.86 525.25 0.00 2001 155.64 3513.199 577.07.86 525.50 0.00 2015 255.53 77712.60 888.07.67 247.57 227.55 2005 156.77 4333.31 857.16 3456.17 227.61 2005 157.71 4333.31 857.16 230.62 27.00 2004 147.17 4333.31 857.16 230.65 3747.13 20.00 2004 174.18 3468.12 230.63 3747.13 20.00 20.00 2004 174.18 3468.12 320.65 3747.13 20.00 20.00 2004 164 97.16 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 1</td> <td></td>					1 1																										
neam-aged stands 2005 2424 99101,51 102.66 40494,41 1136,52 424,48 200.6 2010 2017,44 50260,91 773,24 2233,73 2323,73 2323,73 2323,73 2323,73 2323,73 2325,77 237,23 2323,73 232,57 237,23 232,57 237,23 232,57 237,23 232,57 237,23 232,57 237,24 232,67 237,23 232,57 237,24 232,57 237,23 232,57 237,24 232,61 246,21	orest.																														
1990 136,44 33131,99 777.07 72857,38 4188,25 300,20 0,00 d.which. Forest analabi. 2010 239,43 7712,66 989,76 4172,92,27 1710,53 253,72 727,25 d.which. Forest analabi. 2000 144,71 44338,31 6866,68 45729,22 1170,53 252,78 242,41 d.which. Forest analabi. 2000 144,71 44338,31 6866,61 820,51 479,34 0,00 d.which. Forest analabi. 2000 144,71 44338,31 6866,61 820,51 479,34 0,00 d.which. Forest analabi. 2000 142,91 44338,31 6866,61 820,51 479,34 0,00 d.which. Forest analabi. 2000 142,91 44336,31 6866,61 820,51 479,34 0,00 d.which. Forest analabi.																															
at which. Forest available and which. Forest available whood supply. 2015 305,53 77128.69 8980,76 54126.47 13391.68 3752.2 272.55 2000 195,77 43331.81 64870.68 3656,51 222.45 216.01 2000 174,19 43331.81 6497.68 3456.91 224.81 216.01 2000 174,19 30228,18 5185.99 2108.95 3747.13 205.57 0.00 20unty comments.																															
of which Forst available 2010 258,13 6480,68 6956,88 4772,82 11706,53 252,73 244,21 or wood suppy. 2000 174,19 4433,83,11 6866,61 2856,10 4252,61 222,48 216,61 2000 174,19 4433,8,31 6866,61 2865,16 8320,51 479,34 0,00 2000 174,19 4433,83,11 6866,61 2865,16 8320,51 479,34 0,00 2000 174,19 4433,83,11 6866,61 2865,16 8320,51 479,34 0,00 2000 174,19 4433,81 6866,61 2865,16 8320,51 479,34 0,00 2000 174,19 4433,81 6866,61 2865,95 200,95 0,00 2000 1930 023,01 3747,13 205,97 0,00 2000 2000 1930 1930,01 3747,13 205,97 0,00 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2001 Contrant related to date, definitons, etc. <																															
Control Control Control Control Control 2000 116, 17 4433, 31, 88 4476, 16 3456, 10 9452, 61 221, 61 1990 123, 01 30228, 18 5185, 59 21089, 50 3747, 13 205, 57 0, 00 Control 0 0 104, 4433, 31, 88 4877, 44 205, 57 0, 00 Control 0 0 104, 44 10, 20, 50 3747, 13 205, 57 0, 00 Control 0																															
2000 114,19 44,38,31 e868,61 2005,180 6320,31 20,37 20,30 Country comments:																															
Country comments:: Approach to determine a diameter structure: Describe how did you determine diameter weighted by volume was calculated on forest subcompartment level Category Comments related to data, definitions, etc. Category Comments related to data, definitions, etc. Definition of uneven-aged forests Definition of uneven-aged forests - read diameter was alculated on forest subcompartment level fotal area of uneven-aged forests Definition of uneven-aged forests - read distinguished inside a given subcompartment level (formerly trees of various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment level) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Image: Commenter was calculated on forest subcompartment level Dameter (distribution for uneven-aged forests Image: Commenter was calculated on forest subcompartment level Dameter (distribution for uneven-aged forests) Image: Commenter was calculated on forest subcompartment level Dameter (distribution for uneven-aged forests) Image: Commenter was calculated on forest subcompartment level Dameter distribution for uneven-aged forests: Image: Commenter was calculated on forest subcompartment level Dameter distribution for uneven-aged forests: Image: Commenter was calculated on forest subcompartment level Dameter distribution for uneven-aged forestsubord Image: Commenter was ca	or wood supply																														
Approach to determine a diameter structure: Describe how did you determine iameter structure: mean diameter weighted by volume was calculated on forest subcompartment level Category Comments related to data, definitions, etc. Comments on trend(s) Definition of uneven-aged has been modified since the last submission beacues: -system was also taken into account (selection, non- resultated on forest subcompartment) Definition of uneven-aged has been modified since the last submission beacues: -system was also taken into account (selection, non- resultated on forest subcompartment) otal volume of uneven-aged forests Definition for oneven-aged distinguished inside a given subcompartment) otal volume of uneven-aged forests Imaged forests Viameter distinguished inside a given subcompartment distinguished inside a given subcompartment di		1990	123,01	3022	28,18	5185,99	21089	9,50	3747,	,13	205,5	7	0,0	0																	
Approach to determine a diameter structure: Describe how did you determine iameter structure: mean diameter weighted by volume was calculated on forest subcompartment level Category Comments related to data, definitions, etc. Comments on trend(s) Definition of uneven-aged has been modified since the last submission beacuse: -system was also taken into account (selection, non- productive etc., see abeet 1.3a) -mean diameter was calculated on forest subcompartment distinguished inside a given subcompa	Country comments:																														
Describe how did you determine iameter structure: mean diameter weighted by volume was calculated on forest subcompartment level Categony Comments related to data, definitions, etc. Comments on trend(s) Definition of uneven-aged has been modified since the last submission beacuese: - aystern was also taken into account (selection, non- productive etc., see shat been distinguished inside a given subcompartment level (formerty tres of various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment) Submission beacuese: - avective etc., see shat been distinguished inside a given subcompartment level (formerty tres of various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment) Otal volume of uneven-aged prests: Image: Comment in the various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment) Diameter distribution for uneven-aged prests: Image: Comment in the various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment) Diameter distribution for uneven-aged prests: Image: Comment in the various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment) Diameter distribution for uneven-aged prests: Image: Comment in the various sizes had been distribution for uneven-aged Diameter distribution for uneven-aged Image: Comment in the various sizes had been distribution for uneven-aged Diameter distribution for uneven-aged Image: Comment in the various sizes had been distribution for uneven-aged		neter structure:					_																								
Image of uneven-aged forests Definition of uneven-aged has been modified since the last submission because: - system was also taken into account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total area of uneven-aged forests Definition of or st subcompartment ievel Total area of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total area of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) Total volume of uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection) Diameter distribution for uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection) Diameter distribution for uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection) Section for uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection) Section for uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection) Section for uneven-aged forests Section 100 account (selection)		ne																													
Definition of uneven-aged has been modified since the last submission beacuese: - system was also taken into account (selection, non- productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) - mean diameter was calculated on forest subcompartment level (formerty trees of various sizes had been distinguished inside a given subcompartment) Image: Second		m	ean diameter	weighted b	by volume	e was calcu	lated on for	rest sı	ubcompar	rtment	evel																				
submission beacuse: -system was also taken into account (selection, non-productive etc., see sheet 1.3a) -mean diameter was calculated on forest subcompartment (stinguished inside a given subcompartment) -mean diameter was calculated on forest subcompartment (stinguished inside a given subcompartment) otal volume of uneven-aged forests	Category		Comm	ents related	d to data,	, definition:	s, etc.		(Comme	nts on t	rend(s)																			
Torests: Diameter distribution for uneven-aged Torests available for wood supply: Deporting notes:	Fotal area of uneven-aged fore	su - s sts pr - n lev	bmission bea ystem was a oductive etc., nean diamete vel (formerly	acuse: Iso taken in see sheet r was calcu trees of var	nto accour 1.3a) ulated on f rious size	nt (selectio forest subo es had beer	n, non- compartme n																								
Diameter distribution for uneven-aged forests : Diameter distribution for uneven-aged forests available for wood supply: Remotion notes: <																															
Diameter distribution for uneven-aged creates available for wood supply:		en-aged																													
Percenting notes:		n agod						_																							
														_																	
								П				1				1				1				 				~			

Pan-European qualitative questionnaire

uestionnaire Home About Contact

2A: Forest Policy and Governance

The five overall Forest policy and	governance indicators	cover the overall polic	y approaches,	institutions and instruments	for implementation and
communication of SFM:					

- 1. National Forest Programmes (NFPs) or equivalent;
- 2. Institutional frameworks;
- 3. Legal/regulatory framework: National (and/or sub-national) and international commitments;
- 4. Financial and economic instruments;
- 5. Information and communication.

1. National forest programmes (NFP) or equivalent

NFP or equivalent process/document	ts for forest related dialogue, policy development & implementation	
Existence of NFP or equivalent	Yes, at national level	
process	\odot Yes, at subnational level	
	○ No	
	O Under elaborations	
Main formal decision making body	Ministry responsible for forestry	
of the process	$^{\bigcirc}$ Body with members other than Ministry responsible for forestry	
	○ Other. Please specify:	
		-
		-
		11
Significant changes related to the	Yes	
NFP or equivalent process since 2014	◎ No	
	If yes, please describe the reason/s for and type of changes [max.100 words]	

Thank you for your attention

Matej Schwarz FOREST EUROPE Liaison Unit Bratislava <u>matej.schwarz@foresteurope.org</u>

